

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,856	12/22/2000	Richard P. Modelski	P 270183 NOR-13175BA	8575
125 NAGOO I AKK		INER		
			MOORE JR, MICHAEL J	
ACTON, MA	)1720		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/06/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/741,856	MODELSKI ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael J. Moore, Jr.	2616			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte	, FROM THE MAILING DA e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. love, the maximum statutory period vended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDOLOGICAL date of this communication, even if timely find	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 14 De	ecember 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.				
<u> </u>	,	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	3		
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-22,2</u>	5-35.38 <i>and</i> 39 is/are pen	ding in the application.				
	n(s) is/are withdray	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
5) Claim(s) is/are						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-22,2</u>		cted.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are						
8) Claim(s) are s	- / · · · ·	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers	·	·				
9)☐ The specification is ob	signated to by the Evernine					
•	•	re:  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	stad to by the Everniner			
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S				
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is o		۵١,		
		aminer. Note the attached Offic		1).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		animer. Note the attached office	e Action of form 1 10-132.			
a) All b) Some * c	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
	of the priority documents	s have been received				
		s have been received in Applica	ution No			
		ity documents have been recei				
<del>-</del> ·	n the International Bureau	•	red in this Hattorial Glage			
		of the certified copies not receive	<i>r</i> ed			
		are some sopres necroses.	<b>.</b>			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTC)	1-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent [		Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	t(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			
		-,				

Application/Control Number: 09/741,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/7/06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claims 1-9, 12-22, 25-35, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albert et al. (U.S. 6,650,641) (hereinafter "Albert") in view of McRae (U.S. 6,970,462).

Regarding claim 1, *Albert* teaches a forwarding agent that receives fixed affinities (single instructions) from a service manager that specify actions to be performed on particular packets having headers as spoken of on column 13, lines 19-29.

Albert also teaches step 1304 of Figure 13 where a forwarding agent finds an affinity that matches (filter result) an incoming packet as spoken of on column 29, lines 59-61.

Albert also teaches the source/destination IP address change, source/destination port change, and checksum adjustment actions (different filter operations performed on packet header fields) shown in steps 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 of Figure 13 that are performed in response to the affinity/packet matching (filter result) step 1304 as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12.

Albert also teaches the sequential performing of these actions in Figure 13, and further teaches on column 30, lines 4-10, how these actions may be performed in a different order or how only a portion of these actions may be performed in some instances.

Albert does not teach performing at least two of a plurality of filter operations on the <u>same data field</u> in the <u>data packet header</u>, and where one field of the data packet header is processed <u>in parallel</u> with multiple filter operations.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,856

Art Unit: 2616

However, *McRae* teaches a high-speed packet classification system where an incoming packet header of Figure 6 (having 32-bit IP source/destination address fields) is divided into 16-bit portions and where these portions (i.e. two 16-bit portions of IP source address field) are then subjected to a parallel lookup table construction process as shown in Figure 12 and spoken of on column 5, lines 24-47, column 5, lines 61-66, and column 9, lines 19-41.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the parallel processing of packet header data fields using filter rules as taught in *McRae* with the teachings of *Albert* in order to expedite the packet classification process as spoken of on column 9, lines 33-41 of *McRae*.

Regarding claims **2, 15, and 28,** *Albert* further teaches the forwarding (processing) of the packet in step 1320 of Figure 13 in response to the actions 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 (filter operations).

Regarding claims **3, 16, and 29,** *Albert* further teaches fixed affinity 600 shown in Figure 6 composed of key, flag, and address fields (set of data bits).

Regarding claims **4, 17, and 30,** *Albert* teaches fixed affinity 600 shown in Figure 6 composed of key, flag, and address fields (data bits). *Albert* does not explicitly teach a 32-bit instruction. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a fixed affinity 600 of *Albert* that contains 32 bits in order to provide a robust method of matching an affinity with an incoming packet and performing corresponding actions on the packet as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims **5**, **18**, **and 31**, *Albert* teaches source/destination IP address change, source/destination port change, and checksum adjustment actions (filter operations) shown in steps 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 of Figure 13 that are performed in response to the affinity/packet matching (filter result) step 1304 as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12. *Albert* does not explicitly teach 32 filter operations. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to perform more filter operations than shown in Figure 13 of *Albert* in order to provide a more robust packet filtering process.

Regarding claims **6**, **19**, **and 32**, *Albert* teaches fixed affinity 600 shown in Figure 6 composed of key, flag, and address fields (data bits). *Albert* does not explicitly teach a 64-bit instruction. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a fixed affinity 600 of *Albert* that contains 64 bits in order to provide a robust method of matching an affinity with an incoming packet and performing corresponding actions on the packet as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12.

Regarding claims **7**, **20**, **and 33**, *Albert* teaches source/destination IP address change, source/destination port change, and checksum adjustment actions (filter operations) shown in steps 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 of Figure 13 that are performed in response to the affinity/packet matching (filter result) step 1304 as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12. *Albert* does not explicitly teach 64 filter operations. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to perform more filter operations than shown in Figure 13 of *Albert* in order to provide a more robust packet filtering process.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,856

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims **8, 21, and 34,** *Albert* further teaches the forwarding (processing) of the packet in step 1320 of Figure 13 in response to the actions 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318.

Regarding claims **9, 22, and 35,** *Albert* further teaches the IP packet 980 shown in Figure 9E.

Regarding claims **12**, **25**, **and 38**, *Albert* further teaches step 1304 of Figure 13 where a forwarding agent finds an affinity that matches (filter result) an incoming packet as spoken of on column 29, lines 59-61.

Regarding claims **13**, **26**, **and 39**, *Albert* further teaches step 1304 of Figure 13 where a forwarding agent finds (search) an affinity that matches (filter result) an incoming packet as spoken of on column 29, lines 59-61.

Regarding claim **14**, *Albert* teaches the forwarding agent 250 (apparatus) shown in Figure 2B.

Albert also teaches forwarding agent 250 containing memory 254 (See Figure 2B) that receives fixed affinities (single instructions) from a service manager that specify actions to be performed on particular packets having headers as spoken of on column 13, lines 19-29, as well as step 1304 of Figure 13 where a forwarding agent finds an affinity that matches (filter result) an incoming packet as spoken of on column 29, lines 59-61.

Albert also teaches forwarding agent 250 containing processor 252 coupled to memory 254 (See Figure 2B) that performs source/destination IP address change, source/destination port change, and checksum adjustment actions (different filter

operations performed on packet header fields) shown in steps 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 of Figure 13 in response to the affinity/packet matching (filter result) step 1304 as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12.

Albert also teaches the sequential performing of these actions in Figure 13, and further teaches on column 30, lines 4-10, how these actions may be performed in a different order or how only a portion of these actions may be performed in some instances.

Albert does not teach performing at least two of a plurality of filter operations on the same data field in the data packet header, and where one field of the data packet header is processed in parallel with multiple filter operations.

However, *McRae* teaches a high-speed packet classification system where an incoming packet header of Figure 6 (having 32-bit IP source/destination address fields) is divided into 16-bit portions and where these portions (i.e. two 16-bit portions of IP source address field) are then subjected to a parallel lookup table construction process as shown in Figure 12 and spoken of on column 5, lines 24-47, column 5, lines 61-66, and column 9, lines 19-41.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the parallel processing of packet header data fields using filter rules as taught in *McRae* with the teachings of *Albert* in order to expedite the packet classification process as spoken of on column 9, lines 33-41 of *McRae*.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,856

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim **27**, *Albert* teaches the method shown in Figure 13 performed by a forwarding agent 250 of Figure 2B containing memory 254 (computer readable medium).

Albert also teaches a forwarding agent (logic) that receives fixed affinities (single instructions) from a service manager that specify actions to be performed on particular packets having headers as spoken of on column 13, lines 19-29.

Albert also teaches step 1304 of Figure 13 where a forwarding agent (logic) finds an affinity that matches (filter result) an incoming packet as spoken of on column 29, lines 59-61.

Albert also teaches the source/destination IP address change, source/destination port change, and checksum adjustment actions (different filter operations performed on packet header fields) shown in steps 1310, 1312, 1314, 1316, and 1318 of Figure 13 that are performed in response to the affinity/packet matching (filter result) step 1304 as spoken of on column 30, lines 1-12.

Albert also teaches the sequential performing of these actions in Figure 13, and further teaches on column 30, lines 4-10, how these actions may be performed in a different order or how only a portion of these actions may be performed in some instances.

Albert does not teach performing at least two of a plurality of filter operations on the <u>same data field</u> in the <u>data packet header</u>, and where one field of the data packet header is processed <u>in parallel</u> with multiple filter operations.

However, *McRae* teaches a high-speed packet classification system where an incoming packet header of Figure 6 (having 32-bit IP source/destination address fields) is divided into 16-bit portions and where these portions (i.e. two 16-bit portions of IP source address field) are then subjected to a parallel lookup table construction process as shown in Figure 12 and spoken of on column 5, lines 24-47, column 5, lines 61-66, and column 9, lines 19-41.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art, given these references, to combine the parallel processing of packet header data fields using filter rules as taught in *McRae* with the teachings of *Albert* in order to expedite the packet classification process as spoken of on column 9, lines 33-41 of *McRae*.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to *amended* claims **1, 14, and 27** have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection provided above.

Specifically, after further analysis of the *McRae* reference, it is shown in Figure 6 and spoken of on column 5, lines 61-66, how the incoming packet header (having 32-bit IP source/destination address fields) is divided such that the IP source address field and the IP destination address field each consist of two 16-bit fields.

It is also shown how each of these 16-bit portions are entered into separate lookup tables in a parallel fashion as spoken of on column 9, lines 19-41. It is held that the inputting of a first and a second portion of an IP address field (same data field) of a header into separate lookup tables (filter operations) in a parallel fashion constitutes

Application/Control Number: 09/741,856 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

"performing at least two of a plurality of filter operations on the same data field in the data packet header in accordance with the retrieved filter result, whereby one field of the data packet header is processed in parallel with multiple filter operations" as provided above.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30am -4:00pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached at (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mjm M M

Michael J. Moore, Jr. 2 S. RCD Examiner SEEMA S. R.D 3 11/07
Art Urit 26460RY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800